ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member(A)

Case No <u>- OA- 342 of 2019.</u>

Sri Bhairab Chandra Mondal. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.				
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date		
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary		
1		3		
08	For the Applicant : Mr. Narayan Ch. Mondal, Ld. Advocate.			
21/11/2019	For the State Respondents : Mr. A.L. Basu, Mr. B.P. Roy, Ld. Advocates.			
	The instant application has been filed			
	basically praying for direction upon the concerned			
	respondents to release 266 days leave salary along			
	with interest by quashing the impugned order dated			
	28.09.18. As per the applicant, he was initially			
	appointed as Instructor in Science and Mathematic			
	at Bipradas Pal Chowdhury Industrial Training			
	Centre on 16.03.1995, which is a non-government			
	organisation. Subsequently, the said institution was			
	undertaken by the State Government in terms of			
	G.O.No.57-TET(Trg)/4E(ITC)-37/2006 dated			
	22.02.2013 w.e.f. 01.08.12. Thereafter, the			
	applicant was absorbed in the said institute			
	undertaking from 01.08.12 and retired on			
	31.03.2018. According to the applicant, he has not			
	been granted leave salary during his service period			
	in non-government institution. Being aggrieved with			
	he has filed one application before the Hon'ble High			
	Court and the Hon'ble High Court vide his order			

ORDER SHEET

Sri Bhairab Chandra Mondal.

Form No.

Vs.

....

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No <u>OA- 342 d</u> Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessa 3
	dated 25.07.18 had directed the applicant to make	
	representation before the authority. In pursuance to	
	the said judgment, the respondent had considered	
	the case of the applicant and rejected his claim on	
	the ground that the period rendered as non-	
	government employee cannot be accumulated for	
	their leave purpose. Therefore, he is not entitled any	
	relief for that period. Being aggrieved with, he has	
	approached this Tribunal.	
	Though the respondents have not filed any	
	reply. However, the counsel for the respondent has	
	vehemently relied upon on the reasoned order dated	
	28.09.2018 and has submitted that as per	
	government rules the applicant has become entitled	
	for leave salary for the period he rendered after	
	being absorbed i.e. government institution and for	
	the rest period prior to his absorption cannot be	
	treated for the purpose of granting of leave salary.	
	Therefore, he has submitted that the respondents	
	have rightly rejected the claim of the applicant.	
	We have heard both the parties and perused	
	the records. It is an admitted fact that the applicant	
	got absorption in the government institution from	
	01.08.12 as and when the said institution was	
	taken up by the Government which was earlier non-	
	government institution. As per G.O. dated 30.01.94,	

ORDER SHEET

Sri Bhairab Chandra Mondal.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No OA- 342 of 2019.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	staff of erstwhile ITC were not allowed to get any	
	other benefit except the accumulation of concerned	
	leave in the same manner. As the applicant had	
	rendered service over the period 01.08.12 to	
	31.03.18 and had accumulated 74 days were leave	
	only. Therefore, the applicant was granted leave	
	encashment for the said period. However, as the	
	past service of the applicant rendered in a private	
	organisation cannot be treated as government	
	service. Therefore, mere consideration of absorption	
	does not also entitled him to get the benefit of leave	
	salary for the period when he rendered his service to	
	private organisation. Accordingly, we do not find	
	any reason to interfere with the decision of the	
	respondents. The OA is dismissed being devoid of	
	merit.	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	

Form No.